BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION 2011 MAR 24 AM 10: 25 1 2 3 In the Matter of 4 5 MUR 6365) DISMISSAL AND CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE 6) ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM . SAGER FOR CONGRESS AND 7 ROBERT C. WARDLOW III, 8 **AS TREASURER**) 9 10 11 GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal scorffig 12 criteria to allocate its resources and decide which cases to pursue. These criteria include, but are no 13 limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, both with respect to the type of 14 15 activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on 16 the electoral process, (3) the legal complexity of issues raised in the case, (4) recent trends in 17 potential violations of the Act, and (5) development of the law with respect to certain subject 18 matters. It is the Commission's policy that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-19 rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to 20 dismiss certain cases. The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6365 as a low-rated matter 21 and has also determined that it should not be referred to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. 22 This Office therefore recommends that the Commission exercisn its prosecutorial discretion to 23 dismiss MUR 6365. 24 In this matter, complainant Shirley Anderson alleges that Sager for Congress and 25 Robert C. Wardlow III, in his official capacity as treasurer (the "Committee"), violated the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), by failing to display printed boxes around 26 27 disclaimers on yard signs and other large signs, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and 11 C.F.R.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 1 § 110.11(c)(2)(ii). The complainant submitted three pictures of signs that included the words
- 2 "Sager [for] Congress," the Committee's website address, http://www.standwithsager.com/, and the
- 3 phrase "paid for and approved by Sager for Congress," but did not include printed boxes around the
- 4 disclaimers. In addition, the complainant alleges that the Committee failed to timely file its 2010
- 5 Pre-Primary election report by the August 12, 2010 deadline, or twelve days before Florida's
- 6 August 24, 2010 primary election, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a),
 - see http://www.fec.gov/pages/peport_notices/2010/state_notices/flprim.shtml.

In msponse, the Committee acknowledges that it inadvertently omitted the printed boxes from the disclaimers on the signs in question, which it states were the first campaign items designed by its "grassroots campaign," and claims that subsequent campaign literature included disclaimers with printed boxes. Further, the Committee offers that while the disclaimers lacked the requisite boxes, each was printed with a high level of color contrast, was larger than required, and was easily noticed. As to the allegation that the Committee failed to timely file its 2010 Pre-Primary election report, the Committee seems to imply that the report was timely filed when it cites to an August 13, 2010 "Notice of Failure to File" ("Notice") sent by the Commission's Reports Analysis Division, ("RAD") that instructed the Committee to file the report within four days of the date of the Notice. The Committee filed the report with the Commission on August 16, 2010, within four days of the date of the Notice and filing deadline.

Any public communication for which a candidate or an authorized committee makes a disbursement must contain a disclaimer that clearly states the authorized committee paid for the communication. 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(a)(1) and (b)(1). The disclaimer: (1) must be presented in a clear and conspicuous manner and, for printed communications, must be of a sufficient type size to

Mr. Jason Sager was an unsuccessful candidate for Congress from Florida's 5th Congressional District.

Case Closure Under EPS – MUR 6365 General Counsel's Report Page 3

- be clearly readable; (2) must be contained in a printed box set apart from the other contents of the
- 2 communication; and (3) must be printed with a reasonable degree of color contrast between the
- background and the printed statement. 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c).
- 4 As acknowledged by the Committee, the signs in question did not include a printed box
- 5 around the disclaimers. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii). However, the
- 6 pictures attached to the complaint appear to indicate that, as the Committee claims in its response,
- 7 the disclaimers identify the Committee and indicate that it suthorized and paid for the
- 8 communications. See 2 U.S.C. § 441d(a)(1) and 11 C.F.R. §§ 110.11(b)(1) and (c)(1). In addition,
- 9 although the Committee filed its 2010 Pre-Primary election report after the August 12, 2010
- deadline, in violation of 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), it did comply with RAD's Notice and filed the
- report four days later on August 16, 2010.
- Given the Committee's quick response to RAD's non-filer notice, coupled with the technical
- 13 nature of the disclaimer violations, along with the Committee's acknowledgement and claims of
- 14 remedial measures taken after the signs in question were printed, this Office believes further action
- in this matter is unwarranted. Accordingly, under EPS, the Office of General Counsel has scored
- 16 MUR 6365 as a low-rated matter and therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities as
- discussed above, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its
- 18 prosecutorial discretion and dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).
- 19 Additionally, this Office recommends that the Commission remind Sager for Congress and
- 20 Robert C. Wardlow III, in his official capacity as treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C.
- § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), concerning the timely filing of pre-election financial disclosure reports and
- 22 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii), concerning the requirement to place boxes
- 23 around certain printed disclaimers.

25 26 27

28

Case Closure Under EPS - MUR 6365 General Counsel's Report Page 4

RECOMMENDATIONS

1 2 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6365, close 3 the file, and approve the appropriate letters. Additionally, this Office recommends that the 4 Commission remind Sager for Congress and Robert C. Wardlow III, in his official capacity as 5 treasurer, of the requirements under 2 U.S.C. § 434(a)(2)(A)(i), concerning the timely filing of pre-6 election financial disclosure reports and 2 U.S.C. § 441d(c)(2) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.11(c)(2)(ii), 7 concerning the requirement to place boxes around certain printed disclaimers. 8 Christopher Hughey 9 Acting General Counsel 10 11 12 BY: Gregory R. Baker 13 14 Special Counsel **Complaints Examination** 15 16 & Legal Administration 17 18 19 20 Jeff S. Jordan 21 Supervisory Agtorney 22 **Complaints Examination** 23 & Legal Administration 56.00 pg 24

Wanda D. Brown

Attorney